

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, <div style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</div> <div style="text-align: center;">vs.</div> ANDREW J. OLMER, <div style="text-align: center;">Defendant.</div>))))))))))	8:06CR15 ORDER
--	--	---

This matter is before the court on the motion for an extension of time by defendant Andrew J. Olmer (Olmer) (Filing No. 23). Olmer seeks until July 6, 2006, in which to file pretrial motions in accordance with the progression order. Olmer has filed an affidavit wherein he consents to the motion and acknowledges he understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act (Filing No. 24). Olmer's counsel represents that government's counsel has no objections to the motion. Upon consideration, the motion will be granted.

IT IS ORDERED:

Defendant Olmer's motion for an extension of time (Filing No. 23) is granted. Defendant Olmer is given until **on or before July 6, 2006**, in which to file pretrial motions pursuant to the progression order. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **June 12, 2006 and July 6, 2006**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case, taking into consideration due diligence of counsel, and the novelty and complexity of this case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B).

DATED this 12th day of June, 2006.

BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken
United States Magistrate Judge